

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 ROGER GIFFORD,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 MICHELE HANSON, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:21-CV-0119-TLN-DMC

ORDER

17 Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. Pending before the  
18 Court is Plaintiff's motion, ECF No. 29, for a 60-day extension of time to file objections to the  
19 Court's March 3, 2022, findings and recommendations. In his motion, Plaintiff states that  
20 additional time is needed because the findings and recommendations require him to "completely  
21 rewrite the Complaint in this matter. . . ." Id. at 1. Plaintiff further states that he needs additional  
22 time to do so given limitations on access to the county law library. See id. Plaintiff has not  
23 demonstrated good cause warranting the amount of time extension requested here. Specifically,  
24 the Court's findings and recommendations do not, as Plaintiff believes, require him to file an  
25 amended complaint. While the Court has recommended dismissal with leave to amend, Plaintiff  
26 would not be required to file an amended complaint until such time as the findings and  
27 recommendations are adopted by the assigned District Judge.

28 ///

1                   Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion, ECF No. 29, for  
2 an extension of time to file objections to the Court's March 3, 2022, findings and  
3 recommendations is granted in part, and Plaintiff shall have thirty days from the date of this Order  
4 to file any objection to the Court's March 3, 2022, finds and recommendations.

5  
6 Dated: March 18, 2022

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Dennis M. Cota', written over a horizontal line.

DENNIS M. COTA  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE